



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

> P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/880,630	06/13/2001	David Leason	3607/1J483US1	5915
75	90 11/16/2004		EXAMI	INER
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			PEACHES, RANDY	
805 Third Aven New York, NY	hird Avenue York, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2686	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> </del>
Advisory Action	09/880,630	LEASON, DAVID	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Randy Peaches	2686	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice to the control of	cation. A proper rep ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moves are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \( \square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•		
10. Other:	Marcha	Banb-Harola	
	MARSHA N	DANKE HARRE	y.
	SUPERVISORY	BANKS-HAROLD PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 2600	
		WAAAA	

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the arguments presented by the Applicant, the Examiner would like to bring to the Applicant's attention the cited disclosed statements in Sawanda (U.S. Patent Number 6,421,544 B1), referenced in column 10 lines 3-34, where clearly disclosed is a RAM, which reads on claimed "buffer memory," and the ROM, which reads on claimed "binary values," that controls the mode of the device.

Therefore, per the above statement, as well as, the rejections contained in the previous office action, the Examiner concludes that claims 13 and 22-24 stand fully rejected.